

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/629,270	07/29/2003	Luc Marcel Lafond	028524.009	5687	
25461 75	590 06/01/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
SMITH, GAMBRELL & RUSSELL, LLP			KAUFMAN, JOSEPH A		
	REE STREET, N.E. ROMENADE II		ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
ATLANTA, GA 30309-3592			3754		
			DATE MAILED: 06/01/200:	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			6		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/629,270	LAFOND, LUC MA	ARCEL		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Joseph A. Kaufman	3754			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence add	dress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. - after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a r ply within the statutory minimum of thir d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become AE	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely ITHS from the mailing date of this co	<i>j.</i> ommunication.		
Status	•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	············				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) Thi	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.), 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-77 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 66-69 is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) 1-65 and 70-77 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ ac					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attache	a Office Action of form P1	O-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in A ority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No I received in this National	Stage		
Ottachment(c)		·			
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview	Summary (PTO-413)			
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTC	D-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/629,270 Page 2

Art Unit: 3754

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of Group I, specie D in the reply filed on 4/14/2005 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

2. Claims 1, 25 and 38 are generic and allowable. Accordingly, the restriction requirement as to the encompassed species is hereby withdrawn and claims 3, 5, 34-36, 52 and 59, directed to the species of A-C and E are no longer withdrawn from consideration since all of the claims to this species depend from or otherwise include each of the limitations of an allowed generic claim.

In view of the above noted withdrawal of the restriction requirement as to the linked species, applicant(s) are advised that if any claim(s) depending from or including all the limitations of the allowable generic linking claim(s) be presented in a continuation or divisional application, such claims may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Once a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. See *In re Ziegler*, 44 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

Information Disclosure Statement

3. The information disclosure statement filed 4/5/2004 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each cited foreign patent document; each non-patent literature publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

Specification

4. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: there are numerous misspellings throughout the specification. The word "figure" has been spelled

Art Unit: 3754

"figur" on at least pages 11, 12, 13, 19, and 24. Please check the entire specification for minor errors.

Appropriate correction is required.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 1-65 and 70-77 are allowed.

With regards to claims 1-65, the first and second controls for the valve and pressurized fluid source, in combination with the other claimed elements, are not found in the prior art. With regard to claims 70-77, the hand-operated air pump, in the environment of the caulk-type gun, in combination with the other claimed elements, is not found in the prior art.

Conclusion

6. This application is in condition for allowance except for the following formal matters:

See paragraphs 3 and 4 above.

Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Exparte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire **TWO**MONTHS from the mailing date of this letter.

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Huber et al., Hoagland, and Lofstrand, Jr. show other air actuated dispensing guns; Rieck shows a rotary valve; and Craig shows a hand pump on a dispenser.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph A. Kaufman whose telephone number is (571) 272-4928. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 5:30AM-2PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Mar can be reached on (571) 272-4906. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Joseph A. Kaufman Primary Examiner Art Unit 3754

Art Unit 3/54

jak May 25, 2005